



RISE_BPM: Experiences from a 4-Years Horizon 2020 Researcher and Innovation Staff Exchange Project

ERCIS Lunchtime Seminar

RISE_BPM: How it is being perceived

Australia introduced new rules for us













Road to RISE_BPM

Road to RISE_BPM: The Networked Service Society Funded by the GMER's International Office; 07/2010 - 12/2014

Joint Education

We develop and implement joint education programs for increasing the mobility of our students and PhD students.

Joint Research

We perform high quality research. We publish our results in leading peer-reviewed journals and present them on international conferences.

Joint Industry Projects

We perform research that 'matters', in close cooperation with industry partners and the public sector. Our objective is to establish long-term cooperation initiatives funded by these stakeholders.





ERCIS



-ini

Project partners









Armin Stein

Result: International collaboration is great

... and so is the Asia-Pacific region

Let's do something to keep this options!



The RISE funding scheme

The RISE funding scheme

The RISE objective



Objective: The RISE scheme promotes international and crosssector collaboration through exchanging research and innovation staff, and sharing knowledge and ideas from research to market (and vice-versa). The scheme fosters a shared culture of research and innovation that welcomes and rewards creativity and entrepreneurship and helps to turn creative ideas into innovative products, services or processes.

The RISE funding scheme

Expected impact

- At staff member level:
 - Increased set of skills, both research-related and transferable ones, leading to improved employability and career prospects both in and outside academia
 - Increase in higher impact R&I output, more knowledge and ideas converted into products and services
 - Greater contribution to the knowledge-based economy and society
- At organisation level:
 - Enhanced cooperation and transfer of knowledge between sectors and disciplines
 - Strengthening of international and intersectoral collaborative networks
 - Boosting of R&I capacity among participating organisations
- At system level:
 - Increase in international, interdisciplinary and intersectoral mobility of researchers in Europe
 - Strengthening of Europe's human capital base in R&I
 - Increase in Europe's attractiveness as a leading destination for R&I
 - Better quality R&I contributing to Europe's competitiveness and growth





EN

Horizon 2020

Work Programme 2018-2020

3. Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions

8

The RISE funding scheme

The money

- 4.500€ per seconded month to the sending institution
- 2.000€ per month *have* to be paid to the secondee
- No explicit HR costs







EN

Horizon 2020

Work Programme 2018-2020

3. Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions

The proposal



We called it "RISE_BPM"

Propelling BPM by Research and Innovation Staff Exchange



Project Goal: RISE_BPM networks world-leading research institutions and corporate innovators to develop new horizons for research in Business Process Management

Project Consortium: 8* universities and 2 companies from Australia, Austria, Brazil, Germany, Liechtenstein, the Netherlands, South Korea and Spain

Funding scheme: EU Horizon 2020 - Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions Total funding: 855,000 € (74* secondments, 190* months, 4.500€/month)

All information: https://www.rise-bpm.eu

RISE as funding source seemed appropriate...

... and our (initial) partner system, too





÷ini

ERCIS

Key objectives

How things evolved

- To propel BPM research in the era of
 - Social Media,
 - Smart Devices,
 - Real-Time Computing, and
 - Big Data Technology
- To enable companies to develop new products and services for designing and analysing business processes
- To supply the involved staff with a unique intellectual environment for accumulating boundary-spanning knowledge and skills that refer to the entire BPM lifecycle





The efforts were relatively low

Table of contents

2	Excellence	5
	2.1 Quality, innovative aspects and credibility of the research	5
	2.1.1 Research Objectives	5
	2.1.2 Methodological Approach	11
	2.1.3 "Gendered innovations" in BPM	12
	2.2 Knowledge sharing among the participants	13
	2.3 Quality of the interaction between the participating organisations	14
	2.3.1 Contribution of each participant in the activities planned	14
	2.3.2 Justification of the main networking activities	15
3	Impact	16
	3.1 Enhancing research- and innovation-related human resources	
	3.2 Developing new sustainable research collaborations to achieve transfer of knowledge a	nd
	to improve research and innovation potential at the European and global levels	17
	3.2.1 Development of New Research Collaborations	
	3.2.2 Self-sustainability of the partnership after the end of the project	17
	3.2.3 Contribution to the improvement of the research and innovation potential	17
	3.3 Effectiveness of the proposed measures for communication and results dissemination	
	3.3.1 Communication and Dissemination strategy	
	3.3.2 Expected impact of the proposed measures	
	3.3.3 Intellectual property rights aspects and exploitation of results	19
4	•	
	4.1 Overall coherence and effectiveness of the work plan	20
	4.1.1 Work Plan	20
	4 4 9 D 1 0 1 0	24





The creativity was relatively high

Sec + RN	r –	▼ SUni ▼	Type 🔻	TUni 🔻	Start - D	ur. 👻	• •		• •	-	•	r 🔻	-	¥ .	• •		•	• •	Ŧ	• •	Ŧ	Ŧ	•	-	T	-	• •	•	Ŧ	v 1	• •	•	Ŧ	• •	-	-	•	* 1	/ -	Ŧ	•	r 💌
1	1	2010 WU	ER	CUP	1	3		i																																		
2	2	2001 WU	ESR	CUP	2	4									Т															Т					Γ	\square		Τ	\square	\square		\top
3	1	2010 WU	ER	QUT	7	3		i								l									l										T					\square		
4	1	2010 WU	ER	QUT	18	6		1				Т			Т															Т					Г	\square				\square		\top
5	2	2001 WU	ESR	QUT	8	4		\Box								\Box														Т					<u> </u>	\square			\square	\square		\top
6	2	2001 WU	ESR	QUT	17	4		!																											Γ				\square	\square		
7	3	2002 WU	ESR	Uni-Ll	15	4		1																											Г	\square			\square	\square		\top
8	4	2013 WU	ER	UNIST	14	3															Γ									Т					Γ	\square			\square	П		\top
9	4	2013 WU	ER	UNIRIO	9	3		i I								T									Ti				\square	Т					Ţ	\square		Τ	\square	\square		\top
10	4	2013 WU	ER	UNIRIO	17	1		1																											\top	\square			\square	\square		\top
11	5	1001 WWU	ESR	QUT	7	4															Π								Π	Т					Γ	\square			\square	Π		\top
12	5	1001 WWU	ESR	QUT	14	4		i								i									Ī										Ī					\square		
13	6	5001 UNIRIO	ESR	WU	15	4		1																											Г					\square		\Box
14	7	1010 WWU	ER	Uni-Ll	2	2																																	\Box	\square		\Box
15	7	1010 WWU	ER	Uni-Ll	14	2		!																	[T					\square		
16	8	1002 WWU	ESR	Uni-Ll	1	4		i																											i							
17	8	1002 WWU	ESR	Uni-Ll	13	4																																		\square		
18	9	1003 WWU	ESR	UNIST	9	4		i I								ļį									i										İ.							
19	9	1003 WWU	ESR	UNIST	21	4		1																																		
20	25	1011 WWU	ER	UNIRIO	5	2																																		\square		
21	25	1011 WWU	ER	UNIRIO	17	2		i																											L					\Box		
22	10	1004 WWU	ESR	UNIRIO	4	4		i I																																Ш		
23	10	1004 WWU	ESR	UNIRIO	16	4																															1					
24	11	6010 Uni-LI	ER	WWU	1	1		!								ļ									ļ															Ц		
25	11	6010 Uni-LI	ER	WWU	21	2		i																											i							
26	12	6001 Uni-LI	ESR	WWU	2	2																																				
27	12	6001 Uni-LI	ESR	WWU	20	2		i I								ļį									ļį										Ī					\square		
28	13	4010 UNIST	ER	WWU	4	1		1																											Τ					\square		\Box
29	13	4010 UNIST	ER	WWU	16	1																																	\Box			
30	14	5010 UNIRIO	ER	WWU	7	2		i																											Ī				\Box			
31	6	5001 UNIRIO	ESR	WWU	7	4																													Γ				\Box			
32	15	3010 USE	ER	CUP	3	1																													Γ				\square	\square		



Let's write a proposal 🔊 🔊

Implications for WWU were quite severe



1	Α	В	C	D	E				K	L	M	
1		Project	t Start:		01.05.201	5	Y		4	8	10	
2									1	2	3	
3							32	88				
4	1 -	Re: 🔻	Senc 🖅	Туре 🔻	Host	r S	t ∓î	Durat 👻	05.15	06.15	07.15 (
15	16	8	wwu	ESR	UNILI		1	4				
16	14	7	wwu	ER	UNILI		2	2				
18	108	40	wwu	MAN	QUT		2	1				
10	22	10	M/M/11	FCD			Λ	А				

How should this happen?

Distribution of work packages



WP10 Project Management
WP11 Communication and Dissemination Management



What did they expect from us...

... or what did we promise?



WP No	Del Rel.	Del No	Title	Description
WP1	D1.1	D1	Social Computing Impacts	Two joint publications on the analysis, develop 🗔
WP2	D2.1	D2	Smart Devices Impacts	Three joint publications will be prepared and h 🗖
WP3	D3.1	D3	Real-Time Computing Impac	Two joint publications on the use of real-time 🗖
WP4	D4.1	D4	Big Data Technology Impac	Two joint publications on proposals the applica 🗖
WP5	D5.1	D5	Societal Impacts	One joint report consolidating the societal imp 🗖
WP6	D6.1	D6	Artefacts for BPM Strategy	Two joint publications on IT artefacts for BPM 🗖
WP7	D7.1	D7	Artefacts for BPM Modellir	Two joint publications on BPM modelling techniq 🗖
WP8	D8.1	D8	Artefacts for BPM Impleme	One joint publications on BPM Implementation, i 🗖
WP9	D9.1	D9	Artefacts for BPM Analysis	One joint publications on BPM Analysis, inspire 🗖
WP10	D10.1	D10	Project Management	• Annual Management Report (M12, General report 🗔
WP11	D11.1	D11	Communication and Dissem	Website (M02) Presentations at Events (starting 🗖

Implications



- We had to develop a Consortium Agreement
 - Distribution of money
 - Processes in case of differences among the participants
 - Any other side agreements



Distribution of money

Armin Stein



- That was simple, as Christoph Stegemann (External funds accounting (Dept. 6.3)) took care of it A
- ... at least so we thought ...



Distribution of money



- 4.500€/months (lump sum!) are split in so-called Category A, B, and C costs
- 2.000€ Category A: "staff member costs": For each full month (!) to be handed to the researcher for their travel and subsistence costs
- *1.800€ Category B: "institutional costs" (or costs related to "research, training, transfer of knowledge, networking, management and indirect costs")
- *700€ Category C: "management costs"
- Some calculation fun:
 - 4.500€/months is being paid for the period between Day_n of Month_x until Day_{n-1} of Month_{x+1}
 - 04.03.2018-03.04.2018 = 31 days = 1 month = 4.500€
 - 01.02.2018-28.02.2018 = 28 days = 1 month = 4.500€
 - 01.02.2018-01.03.2018 = 28 days + 1 day = 29 days = ??? OR
 - 01.02.2018-01.03.2018 = 1 month + 1 day = 4.500€ + 1/30*4.500€ = ??? OR
 - 01.02.2018-01.03.2018 = 1 month + 1 day = 4.500€ + 1/31*4.500€ = ???

Distribution of money

- 4.500€/months (lump sum!) are split in so-called Category A, B, and C costs
- 2.000€ Category A: "staff member costs": For each full month (!) to be handed to the researcher for their travel and subsistence costs
- *1.800€ Category B: "institutional costs" (or costs related to "research, training, transfer of knowledge, networking, management and indirect costs")
- *700€ Category C: "management costs"
- What can be done with this institutional costs? No-one really knew...
- We decided that for each secondment month,
 - 100€ remain with the coordinator for the payment of the annual joint event
 - 410€ should be kept by the sending institution for hosting local events, and
 - 290€ should be given to the coordinator for the coordination



Processes in case of differences

Here we were quite relaxed:



Any other side agreements

- Gender Action Plan: We decided to support families by
 - allowing shorter or interrupted stays
 - providing additional Category B costs to support
 - Case Brisbane Australia:
 2 months w/o interruption: Flight ~900€ leaves 3.100€ for accommodation
 2 months w interruption: Flight ~1.800€ leaves 2.200€ for accommodation
- Unfortunately, some partners ignored these rules, rendering the GAP meaningless





Lessons learned

What did we learn as coordinators?

About the European Commission

- Yearly changing project officer response/proactivity dependent on personal commitment
- During project start phase: EU knowledge <= our knowledge</p>
- Unclear expectations:
 - How to distribute money?
 - Reporting?
- Feeling that only secondments and secondment months matter - no content knowledge/interest
- Complete no show for final meeting request
- Very difficult/long process to add institutions to the existing consortium
- Flexibility of payment differs a lot between institutions





What did we learn as coordinators?

Surprise Audit



Dear all,

European Court of Auditors has selected randomly for audit the EU Grant no 645751 RISE-BPM, 1st period 1/5/2015 to 30/4/2017. The following sample of secondments was selected.

First	Second				
name	name	Тур	Receiving	Start	End
Friedrich	Chasin	ESR	Ulsan National Institute Of Science And Technology	3.02.2016	06.04.2016
Friedrich	Chasin	ESR	Universidade Federal Do Estado Do Rio De Janeiro - Unirio	1.07.2016	1.11.2016
Martin	Matzner	ER	Universidade Federal Do Estado Do Rio De Janeiro - Unirio	28.09.2015	27.10.2015
Markus	Monhof	ESR	Universitat Liechtenstein	22.08.2016	21.12.2016
Henrich	Scholta	ESR	Queensland University Of Technology - Qld Qut	1.02.2016	31.03.2016
		managerial			
Armin	Stein	Staff	Universitat Liechtenstein	11.02.2017	19.03.2017
Benjamin	Kloer	ESR	Universidade Federal Do Estado Do Rio De Janeiro - Unirio	2.06.2016	10.08.2016
	von				
Moritz	Hoffen	ESR	Ulsan National Institute Of Science And Technology	20.09.2016	18.11.2016
Florian	Plenter	ESR	Queensland University Of Technology - Qld Qut	5.10.2016	8.12.2016
Florian	Plenter	ESR	Universidade Federal Do Estado Do Rio De Janeiro - Unirio	28.02.2017	29.04.2017

This audit will be a desk check, meaning I would like you to send me by 9th April 2018 if possible the following data:

- a. Any documents confirming participation in the secondment , confirming also starting and ending dates,
- b. Any other evidence like timesheets, Lab extracts, relevant publications etc confirming her involvement to the project for the sampled secondments.
- c. Any documents confirming employment of the sampled persons in WWU in the audited period,
- d. Confirmation of the payments of the financial contribution for all sampled secondments,
- e. Extract from the accounting system for all costs (financial contributions) claimed in the Form C for 1st period
- f. Projects financed from EU budget in WWU in 2017 year (2015 and 2016 I have as I had audit in your organization last year)
- g. Consortium agreement for the sampled grant.
- h. Confirmations of payments from the Commission (prefinancing and payment for 1st period)



Auditor Ewa Szpunar-Zajac in a helicopter of the Latvian State Border Guard

What did we learn as coordinators?

Surprise Audit

- a. Any documents confirming participation in the secondment , confirming also starting and ending dates,
- b. Any other evidence like timesheets, Lab extracts, relevant publications etc confirming her involvement to the project for the sampled secondments.
- c. Any documents confirming employment of the sampled persons in WWU in the audited period,
- d. Confirmation of the payments of the financial contribution for all sampled secondments,
- e. Extract from the accounting system for all costs (financial contributions) claimed in the Form C for 1st period
- f. Projects financed from EU budget in WWU in 2017 year (2015 and 2016 I have as I had audit in your organization last year)
- g. Consortium agreement for the sampled grant.
- Confirmations of payments from the Commission (prefinancing and payment for 1st period)
 - We handed in everything
 - We did not hear back yet



Auditor Ewa Szpunar-Zajac in a helicopter of the Latvian State Border Guard



What did we learn as participants?

Planning and performance of secondments

- Staff turnover
 - Project management issues
 - Knowledge drain/unfulfilled secondments
- Impact on operational work at home institution (the more secondments the less researchers available)
- Business: companies harder to motivate to second staff
- Finding the right contact person at host institutions
- Secondment planning should be done as realistic as possible
- Secondees should understand it as a benefit, not as a doing us a favour to stay in Rio / Brisbane / Melbourne / Korea / Liechtenstein for 4+ weeks
- Clear rules of documentation





Some of our partners' feedback



A RETROSPECTIVE VIEW ON THE PROJECT

Conducting a RISE Project enabled us to develop ground-breaking ideas

- Secondments enable us to do research that cannot be done in remote locations(!). RISE is a very valuable funding schema.
- RISE enables us to establish new ties and work in entirely new constellations, to develop ground-breaking insights.
- Working in new constellations can sometimes be difficult and timeconsuming from an operational point of view.
- Sometimes it is difficult to free up time for working closely with guest researchers. At times, research in remote locations works better for ERs than for ESRs.
- It is unrealistic to expect high-volume press coverage from single secondments. This output must be developed jointly at annual events.







Lessons Learned

- 4 weeks are over very quickly
 - Prepare a secondment plan with milestones for the stay
 - Define goals and actions for joint research after the stay
- Travels to EU partners would have been nice too

- Visiting other chairs/universities is a great inspiration both for research as well as teaching
- Great way for getting to know other researchers and extending the network

Lessons learned

- Problems with the participation of companies
- Minimum secondment duration
 - For senior staff is best to plan 2 short periods
- Expect the unexpected
- Balance the secondments between years
- Facilitate an agile secondment planning
- Encourage long intermediate meetings
- Joint meetings scheduling
- Flexibility in Category B Budget
 - Allow visiting European Project partners
 - Allow visiting other institutions that do not belong to the Project
- Importance of having a good project coordinator



Lessons learned

- Take the chance to work as much as possible during the secondment
- Following up after a secondment is not always easy as we would like it to be
- It is not easy to handle many people from different sites (and topics) at the same time
- Receiving PhD students is very nice but it has to be very well articulated by the supervisors
- Maybe the visits should be always planed in pairs



Project outcomes

The project's core outcome(s)

What we can report about





Armin Stein

CONSE SCHLOSS DAGSTUHL – LZI GMBH licensed under Creative Commons License CC BY-NC-ND

The project's core outcome(s)

What we can report about



Beverungen, D.; Buijs, J.; Becker, J.; Di Ciccio, C.; van der Aalst, W.; Bartelheimer, C.; vom Brocke, J.; Comuzzi, M.; Kraume, K.; Leopold, H.; Matzner, M.; Mendling, J.; Ogonek, N.; Post, T.; Resinas, M.; Revoredo, K.; del-Rio-Ortega, A.; La Rosa, M.; Santoro, F.; Solti, A.; Song, M.; Stein, A.; Stierle, M.; Wolf, V. (2019). Seven Paradoxes of Business Process Management in a Hyper-Connected World (submitted). In: Business & Information Systems Engineering. Addresses WP1. WP2. WP3. WP4. WP6. WP7. WP8. WP9.

2019

Comuzzi, M.; Márquez-Chamorro, A.; Resinas, M. (2019). A Hybrid Reliability Metric for SLA Predictive Monitoring (forthcoming). In: 34th ACM Symposium on Applied Computing (SAC), Limassol, Cyprus. Addresses WP9.

Heuchert, M.; Barann, B.; Cordes, A.; Becker, J. (2019). Entwicklung eines Entity-Relationship-Modells und eines Verknupfungskonzeptes- eine Betrachtung des Omni-Channel-Managements aus einer Information Systems-Perspektive. In: Robra-Bissantz, S.; Lattemann, C. (Eds.) Digital Customer Experience: Mit digitalen Diensten Kunden gewinnen und halten, Publisher Name Springer Vieweg, Wiesbaden. Addresses WP2, WP3. Access

van der Aa, H.; Di Ciccio, C.; Leopold, H.; Reijers, H. (2019). Extracting Declarative Process Models from Natural Language. In: 31st International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE 2019), Rome, Italy. Addresses WP7, WP8. Access

Di Ciccio, C.; Ekaputra, F.; Cecconi, A.; Ekelhart, A.; Kiesling, E. (2019). Finding Non-compliances with Declarative Process Constraints through Semantic Technologies, In: CAISE 2019 Forum, Rome, Italy, Addresses WP7, WP8, WP9, Access Armin Stein 38

The project's core outcome(s)

What we can report about



- We made new connections for research and teaching
- We might win the University of Sevilla as Spanish ERCIS partner (they will be present at the Annual Workshop in Loughborough)
- We gave young (and not-so-young!) researchers unique experiences
- We experienced our partners as very reliable, which is beneficial for future collaboration (increased trust)
- We started collaboration with the University of Melbourne (new) and the Pohang University of Science and Technology (supplement for Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology)
- We re-initiated the Joint PhD efforts with QUT

Summing up...

Here you would find the video... Click the pic!









Armin Stein

armin.stein@ercis.uni-muenster.de http://erc.is/p/armin.stein Leonardo-Campus 3 48149 Münster Germany

